13th November 1922]

(*b*) if so, the names of such Government servants and the amounts granted to each of them;

(*c*) whether it is the intention of Government to grant compensation for loss of property caused by the rebels to persons who are not Government servants; and

(*d*) whether it is the intention of Government to grant to the relatives of persons killed by the rebels, compassionate allowance similar to that which was granted to the relatives of those that died in the railway train from Tirur to Podanur?

A.—(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) A list is laid on the table* (appended).

(*c*) & (*d*) The Government propose to devote towards the replacement of losses suffered during the rebellion, and the relief of the sufferers all the money which may be collected in the shape of fines and confiscation from persons convicted of offences connected with the rebellion.

Mr. C. Ramalinga Reddi:—"Sir, referring to answer to question No. 362 (*c*) and (*d*), may I request the hon. Member for Government to state how much money has been collected in the shape of fines and confiscations so far?"

The hon. Mr. A. R. Knapp:—"Sir, I am afraid I have not got accurate figures before me but the amount is about a lakh and a half."

Destruction of records in the Munsifs' Courts, etc., during the Mappilla rebellion.

363 Q.—Diwan Bahadur M. Krishnan Nayar: Will the hon. the Home Member, the hon. the Law Member and the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state whether the Government intend taking any and, if so, what action to remove or minimise the loss and inconvenience caused by the destruction of records in the Munsifs' Courts, Registration offices and private houses during the recent Mappilla rebellion?

A.—So far as the civil courts are concerned, the matter is under consideration. In the matter of registration offices, the hon. Member's attention is drawn to the proclamation issued in the *Fort St. George Gazette* of the 11th April last. So far as private houses are concerned, the Government do not propose to take any action.

District Munsif's Court at Perintalmanna.

364 Q.—Diwan Bahadur M. Krishnan Nayar: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government have received a memorial from the people of the Walavanad taluk requesting them to re-open the District Munsif's Court at Perintalmanna; and

(*b*) whether the Government intend to re-open the District Munsifs' Courts at Manjeri and Perintalmanna at an early date?

---

* Vide Appendix III on page 519 infra.

[13th November 1922

A.—(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) There is no proposal before Government at present to re-open the courts referred to.

Report on the 'Train tragedy.'

365 *Q.*—Diwan Bahadur M. KRISHNAN NAYAR: Will the hon. the Home Member and the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state the reasons for the delay in the publication of the report on the 'Train tragedy'?

A.—Attention is invited to the Government of India's resolution on the subject published on the 30th August 1922.

Abolition of Sub-Magistrate's Court at Alattur, Malabar.

366 *Q.*—Diwan Bahadur M. KRISHNAN NAYAR: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that inconvenience is caused to the people of Alattur and its neighbourhood in the district of Malabar by the abolition of the Sub-Magistrate's Court in that locality; and

(*b*) whether the Government intend to re-establish the court at an early date?

A.—The Court has not been abolished. But certain sub-magistrates have been deputed as summary magistrates for the trial of rebellion cases, their ordinary work being done by neighbouring sub-magistrates. The Sub-Magistrate of Alattur is one of these. His work is at present being managed by the Tahsildar and the Stationary Sub-Magistrate, Palghat, who sit at Alattur occasionally. No complaint has been received by the Government alleging any inconvenience caused by this arrangement to the people of Alattur and its neighbourhood.

Promotions of sarishtadars of district and sessions courts.

367 *Q.*—Rai Sahib E. C. M. MASCARENHAS: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether sarishtadars of district and sessions courts have, in the past, been promoted to the High Court as sub-assistant registrars; and

(*b*) if so, in how many instances?

A.—The Government have no information.

Coconut palm pest at Mangalore.

368 *Q.*—Rai Sahib E. C. M. MASCARENHAS: Will the hon. the Minister for Development be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the citizens of Mangalore have asked for the extension of the Pest Act to the city of Mangalore, to eradicate the coconut palm pest which has appeared in the city;

(*b*) whether the Government are aware that the pest has already attacked over 5,000 palms; and

(*c*) whether it is proposed to extend the provisions of the Pest Act early so that the disease may be stamped out?

A.—(*a*) The Government have received no application for the application of the Pest Act. They understand that a resolution was passed at a meeting held at Mangalore on 31st July 1922 requesting the Government to introduce the Act.